

LIST OF APPEAL DECISIONS FROM 25 DECEMBER 2015 to 28 JANUARY 2016

Application No	Description	Location	Officer Recommendation	Committee or Delegated	Decision	Appeal Type	Inspector Decision
15/00898/TPO	Application to fell 1 Monterey Pine tree protected by Tree Preservation Order 08/00001/TPO	Beeches Dukes Orchard Bradninch Exeter EX5 4RA	Refuse permission	Delegated Decision	Refuse permission	Written Representations	Appeal Dismissed

Summary of Inspectors Comments

- The tree contributes to the appearance and setting of the conservation area
- The relationship of the tree to the garden and dwellings of beeches is satisfactory
- There is no major evidence to suggest the tree is at risk of falling
- The tree has ceased growth height, however, will continue to grow in the crown
- The proposal would cause harm to the amenity of the local area and is unjustified.

14/02077/FULL	Erection of a dwelling with parking and associated access (Revised scheme)	11 Uplowman Road Tiverton Devon EX16 4LU	Grant permission subject to conditions.	Committee Decision	Refuse permission	Written Representations	Allow with Conditions
---------------	---	---	---	--------------------	-------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Summary of Inspectors Comments

The main issue is the effect of the proposal on the character and appearance of the surrounding area. The Inspector stated that the proposal would not appear unduly cramped compared with the character of surrounding properties. Although it would have a smaller plot size than is typical of nearby properties and reduce the plot size for No 11, this would neither be particularly apparent from the public realm nor result in unacceptably small plots for future occupants. The scale, design and set back from the road would be broadly consistent with the appearance of properties on Pomeroy Road and would not appear incongruous or detrimental to the street scene. Subject to conditions in respect of obscure glazing on the western elevation and landscaping, the proposal is not considered to harm the privacy and amenity of neighbouring occupants. Conditions are required in respect of the access, parking and turning areas however the Inspector did not consider it necessary to improve visibility along the frontage of No 11.